

Final reflection, or perhaps, a little provocation

Bradley Levinson



Powerful, generative insights and perennial anthropological strengths

- Citizenship as relational practice, rather than “status”; citizenship as a contingent, open process of construction vis-à-vis the state and other imagined political communities
- Historicize, historicize, historicize
- Radical openness and variability in the forms of “education,” “self-making” and “collective-making” from below, always with/in historically given constraints and resources; speaking back to dominant assumptions and conceits



Remaining questions

- Citizenship as relational practice vis-à-vis state only, or other publics/polities?
- The challenge of the vernacularization of citizenship: There are “good citizens” everywhere
- Where’s the state, **how’s** the state?
- Where did democracy go? Can we have “undemocratic” education contribute to democracy?
- How does our anthropological knowledge relate to/contribute to democratic processes and social movements? Do/can we “democratize?”



The normative and empirical-analytic

- Anthropological scholarship should *not* endeavor to separate these two modes of reasoning
- Reflexive declaration of normative commitments, often evolved in dialogue with research participants, which inform research questions and procedures
- Sources and traditions: Critical theory (CT) and feminism, pragmatism, Latin American CT, B. Flyvbjerg's 'phronetic' social science, and M. Somers' historical sociology of citizenship

Groping toward a definition

- *Citizenship is a form of relational practice constituted by the meanings, rights, and obligations of membership in publics, as well as the forms of agency and subjectivity, and modalities of participation, implicated by such membership.*
- *Publics = The diverse social spaces configured beyond close kin and consociates, oriented to political action and the claiming of rights, but not fully encompassed by the state*

Elements of definition

- Citizenship as relational practice, rather than a 'status' or a 'condition'; placing emphasis on the ongoing practices of claims-making and membership
- State is primary, but not sole, granter of citizenship rights and obligations (decentering the State, nested or overlapping citizenship regimes)
- Notion of public as alternative to "civil society"; Understanding counterpublics (Nancy Fraser) and subaltern claims-making

Nancy Fraser defining "counterpublics"
(1992)

“parallel discursive arenas
where members of
subordinate groups invent
and circulate
counterdiscourses to
formulate oppositional
interpretations of their
identities, interests, and
needs”

Defining democracy

- I would propose a normative definition of democracy to guide our inquiries: democracy is *the continual striving toward a social order (social group?) that fosters individual freedoms, sponsors reasoned deliberation, promotes members' participation in decision-making, justly and equitably distributes political–economic power, and facilitates cultural inclusiveness*

Critical anthropology of democracy examines:

- How, and how “continual,” the striving is (versus the ossifying effects of restrictive provisions),
- whether and how different cultural *forms* of reason and argumentation are admitted into deliberation (as an act of cultural inclusiveness),
- what kinds of meaningful citizen participation are promoted, and
- to what extent, and in what manner, power is justly and equitably distributed.

Anthropology of democratic
citizenship *education* therefore
examines:

- efforts by such democratic (counter) publics to educate their members to imagine their social belonging and exercise their participation as democratic citizens.